

Matching

- Input: undirected graph G = (V, E).
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality



Bipartite Matching

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G = (L \uplus R, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality






12.1 Matching

22. Feb. 2020 112/127

Bipartite Matching

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G = (L \uplus R, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most one edge in M.
- Maximum Matching: find a matching of maximum cardinality






12.1 Matching

22. Feb. 2020 113/127

Maxflow Formulation

- ▶ Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G = (L \uplus R \uplus \{s, t\}, E')$.
- Direct all edges from L to R.
- Add source s and connect it to all nodes on the left.
- Add *t* and connect all nodes on the right to *t*.
- All edges have unit capacity.






12.1 Matching

22. Feb. 2020 114/127

Proof

Max cardinality matching in $G \leq$ value of maxflow in G'

- Given a maximum matching *M* of cardinality *k*.
- Consider flow *f* that sends one unit along each of *k* paths.
- f is a flow and has cardinality k.






12.1 Matching

22. Feb. 2020 115/127

Proof

Max cardinality matching in $G \ge$ value of maxflow in G'

- Let f be a maxflow in G' of value k
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow k$ integral; we can assume f is 0/1.
- Consider M= set of edges from L to R with f(e) = 1.
- Each node in *L* and *R* participates in at most one edge in *M*.
- ▶ |M| = k, as the flow must use at least k middle edges.






12.1 Matching

Which flow algorithm to use?

- Generic augmenting path: $\mathcal{O}(m \operatorname{val}(f^*)) = \mathcal{O}(mn)$.
- Capacity scaling: $\mathcal{O}(m^2 \log C) = \mathcal{O}(m^2)$.
- Shortest augmenting path: $\mathcal{O}(mn^2)$.

For unit capacity simple graphs shortest augmenting path can be implemented in time $\mathcal{O}(m\sqrt{n})$.



team	wins	losses	remaining games			
i	w_i	ℓ_i	Atl	Phi	NY	Mon
Atlanta	83	71	-	1	6	1
Philadelphia	80	79	1	-	0	2
New York	78	78	6	0	—	0
Montreal	77	82	1	2	0	-

Which team can end the season with most wins?

- Montreal is eliminated, since even after winning all remaining games there are only 80 wins.
- But also Philadelphia is eliminated. Why?




Formal definition of the problem:

- Given a set *S* of teams, and one specific team $z \in S$.
- Team x has already won w_x games.
- Team x still has to play team y, r_{xy} times.
- Does team z still have a chance to finish with the most number of wins.



Flow network for z = 3. *M* is number of wins Team 3 can still obtain.



Idea. Distribute the results of remaining games in such a way that no team gets too many wins.



12.2 Baseball Elimination

22. Feb. 2020 120/127

Certificate of Elimination

Let $T \subseteq S$ be a subset of teams. Define

$$w(T) := \sum_{i \in T} w_i, \qquad r(T) := \sum_{i,j \in T, i < j} r_{ij}$$

wins of
teams in T remaining games
among teams in T

If $\frac{w(T)+r(T)}{|T|} > M$ then one of the teams in T will have more than M wins in the end. A team that can win at most M games is therefore eliminated.



Theorem 5

A team z is eliminated if and only if the flow network for z does not allow a flow of value $\sum_{ij \in S \setminus \{z\}, i < j} r_{ij}$.

Proof (⇐)

- Consider the mincut A in the flow network. Let T be the set of team-nodes in A.
- If for node x-y not both team-nodes x and y are in T, then x-y ∉ A as otw. the cut would cut an infinite capacity edge.
- We don't find a flow that saturates all source edges:

 $r(S \setminus \{z\}) > \operatorname{cap}(A, V \setminus A)$ $\geq \sum_{i < j: i \notin T \lor j \notin T} r_{ij} + \sum_{i \in T} (M - w_i)$ $\geq r(S \setminus \{z\}) - r(T) + |T|M - w(T)$

► This gives M < (w(T) + r(T))/|T|, i.e., z is eliminated.

Proof (⇒)

- Suppose we have a flow that saturates all source edges.
- We can assume that this flow is integral.
- For every pairing x-y it defines how many games team x and team y should win.
- The flow leaving the team-node x can be interpreted as the additional number of wins that team x will obtain.
- This is less than $M w_{\chi}$ because of capacity constraints.
- Hence, we found a set of results for the remaining games, such that no team obtains more than M wins in total.
- Hence, team *z* is not eliminated.



Project Selection

Project selection problem:

- Set P of possible projects. Project v has an associated profit p_v (can be positive or negative).
- Some projects have requirements (taking course EA2 requires course EA1).
- Dependencies are modelled in a graph. Edge (u, v) means "can't do project u without also doing project v."
- A subset A of projects is feasible if the prerequisites of every project in A also belong to A.


Goal: Find a feasible set of projects that maximizes the profit.



Project Selection

The prerequisite graph:

- $\{x, a, z\}$ is a feasible subset.
- $\{x, a\}$ is infeasible.






12.3 Project Selection

22. Feb. 2020 125/127

Project Selection

Mincut formulation:

- Edges in the prerequisite graph get infinite capacity.
- Add edge (s, v) with capacity p_v for nodes v with positive profit.
- Create edge (v, t) with capacity -pv for nodes v with negative profit.





12.3 Project Selection

22. Feb. 2020 126/127

Theorem 6

A is a mincut if $A \setminus \{s\}$ is the optimal set of projects.

Proof.

A is feasible because of capacity infinity edges.

