Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

The previous two rounding algorithms have the disadvantage that it is necessary to solve the LP. The following method also gives an f-approximation without solving the LP.

For estimating the cost of the solution we only required two properties.

1. The solution is dual feasible and, hence,

$$\sum_u y_u \le \text{cost}(x^*) \le \text{OPT}$$

   where x^* is an optimum solution to the primal LP.

2. The set I contains only sets for which the dual inequality is tight.

Of course, we also need that I is a cover.

Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

The previous two rounding algorithms have the disadvantage that it is necessary to solve the LP. The following method also gives an f-approximation without solving the LP.

For estimating the cost of the solution we only required two properties.

1. The solution is dual feasible and, hence,

$$\sum_u y_u \le \text{cost}(x^*) \le \text{OPT}$$

   where x^* is an optimum solution to the primal LP.

2. The set I contains only sets for which the dual inequality is tight.

Of course, we also need that I is a cover.

Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

The previous two rounding algorithms have the disadvantage that it is necessary to solve the LP. The following method also gives an f-approximation without solving the LP.

For estimating the cost of the solution we only required two properties.

1. The solution is dual feasible and, hence,

$$\sum_u y_u \leq \text{cost}(x^*) \leq \text{OPT}$$

   where x^* is an optimum solution to the primal LP.

2. The set I contains only sets for which the dual inequality is tight.

Of course, we also need that I is a cover.

Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

The previous two rounding algorithms have the disadvantage that it is necessary to solve the LP. The following method also gives an f-approximation without solving the LP.

For estimating the cost of the solution we only required two properties.

1. The solution is dual feasible and, hence,

$$\sum_u y_u \le \mathrm{cost}(x^*) \le \mathrm{OPT}$$

   where x^* is an optimum solution to the primal LP.

2. The set I contains only sets for which the dual inequality is tight.

Of course, we also need that I is a cover.

Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

The previous two rounding algorithms have the disadvantage that it is necessary to solve the LP. The following method also gives an f-approximation without solving the LP.

For estimating the cost of the solution we only required two properties.

1. The solution is dual feasible and, hence,

$$\sum_u y_u \le \mathrm{cost}(x^*) \le \mathrm{OPT}$$

   where x^* is an optimum solution to the primal LP.

2. The set I contains only sets for which the dual inequality is tight.

Of course, we also need that I is a cover.

Technique 3: The Primal Dual Method

Algorithm 1 PrimalDual

1: $y \leftarrow 0$
2: $I \leftarrow \emptyset$
3: **while** exists $u \notin \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ **do**
4: increase dual variable y_u until constraint for some new set S_ℓ becomes tight
5: $I \leftarrow I \cup \{\ell\}$