Title

Ferienakademie im Sarntal — Course 2 Distance Problems: Theory and Praxis

Nesrine Damak

Fakultät für Informatik TU München

20. September 2010

Nesrine Damak: Classical Shortest-Path Algorithms

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Outline

1 Introduction

Definitions The Shortest Path Problem

2 Single-Source Shortest Paths

Breadth First Search BFS Dijkstra-Algorithm Bellman-Ford

3 All Pairs Shortest Paths

Floyd Warshall algorithm Johnson algorithm

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition

A graph G(V, E) is a set V of vertices, a set E of edges, and a real-valued weight function $w: E \longrightarrow R$.

Definition

A path P in G is a sequence of vertices $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ for all $1 \leq i < n$ and $v \in V$.

Definition

The weight of a path P in G is the sum of the weights of its edges: $w(P) = \sum_{i} w(v_{i-1}, v_i).$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Definition

For nodes $u, v \in V$, the shortest path weight from u to v is defined to be: $\delta(u, v) = min(w(P))$ if such a P exists or infinity otherweise.

Definition

For nodes $u, v \in V$ a shortest path from u to v is a path with $w(P) = \delta(u, v)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Applications of the Shortest Path Problem

- find the best route to drive between Berlin and Munich or figure how to direct packets to a destination across a network
- image segmentation
- speech recognition
- find the center point of a graph: the vertex that minimizes the maximum distance to any other vertex in the graph.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Input: A graph G =(V,E), an edge weight function w and a node $s \in V$. **Output**: A shortest path P from s to all other vertices $v \in V - \{s\}$. **Algorithmen**:

- unweighted case : BFS
- no negativ edge-weights : Dijkstra-Algorithm
- general case : Bellman-Ford Algorithm

Notation

- *dist*(*x*): distance from the initial node to *x*, the shortest path found by the algorithm so far
- Q: FIFO queue

- Suppose that w(u, v) = 1 for all $uv \in E$
- We use a simple FIFO queue
- Analysis Time: O(|V| + |E|)

BFS(G)

```
while Q \neq empty do

u \leftarrow DEQUEUE(Q);

for each v \in adj(u) do

if \ dist(v) = \infty then

| \ dist(v) = dist(u) + 1;

ENQUEUE(Q, v);

end

end

end
```

BFS is used to solve following problems:

- Testing whether graph is connected.
- Computing a spanning forest of graph.
- Computing, for every vertex in graph, a path with the minimum number of edges between start vertex and current vertex or reporting that no such path exists.
- Computing a cycle in graph or reporting that no such cycle exists.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Notation

- S:a set of vertices, whose shortest path distances from s are known ("the solved set").
- *dist*(*x*): distance from the initial node to *x*
- Q: priority queue maintaining V-S
- pred(v): the predecessor of the vertex \boldsymbol{v}

```
Dijkstra(G,w,s)
dist(s) \leftarrow 0;
for v \in V - \{s\} do
    dist(v) \leftarrow \infty;
end
S \leftarrow empty;
Q \leftarrow V:
while Q \neq empty do
    u \leftarrow Extract - Min(Q);
    S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\};
    for v \in adi(u) do
         if dist(v) > dist(u) + w(u, v) then
             dist(v) \leftarrow dist(u) + w(u, v);
         end
    end
end
```

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

DIJKSTRA'S ALGORITHM

















・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Nesrine Damak: Classical Shortest-Path Algorithms

Proof of correctness

Theorem

Dijkstras Algorithm terminates with dist $(v) = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Proof.

We show: $dist(v) = \delta(s, v)$ for every $v \in V$ when v is added to S. **Supposition**:

- v is the first vertex added to S , so that $dist(v) > \delta(s,v)$
- y is the first vertex in V-S along a shortest path from s to v
- $x = pred(y) \in S$

then:

- $dist(x) = \delta(s, x)$
- xy is relaxed: $dist(y) = \delta(s, y) \le \delta(s, v) < dist(v)$

CONTRADICTION because $dist(v) \leq dist(y)$!



Nesrine Damak: Classical Shortest-Path Algorithms

∎ 14/ 35

・ロト ・聞と ・ヨト ・ヨト

Time complexity Supposition: Fibonacci-heaps

InitializationO(|V|)Extract-Min|V|O(log|V|)DecreaseKey|E|O(|V|)

so is the time complexity of Dijkstra : $O(|E| + |V| \log |V|)$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

The main idea is that if the edge uv is the last edge of the shortest path to v, the cost of the shortest path to v is the cost of the shortest path to u plus the weight of w(u,v).

Bellman-Ford algorithm finds all shortest-path lengths from a source $s \in V$ to all $v \in V$ or determines that a negative-weight cycle exists.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Bellman}\text{-}\mathsf{Ford}(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{w},\mathsf{s})\\ \mathsf{dist}(\mathsf{s}) \leftarrow \mathsf{0};\\ \mathsf{for} \ v \in V - \{s\} \ \mathsf{do}\\ & | \ \mathsf{dist}(\mathsf{v})\leftarrow\infty;\\ & pred(v):=null;\\ \mathsf{end} \end{array}$$

Nesrine Damak: Classical Shortest-Path Algorithms

・ロン ・四シ ・ヨン ・ヨン

```
for i from 1 to |V| - 1 do
for each edge uv \in E do
if dist(u) + w(u, v) < dist(v) then
| dist(v) := dist(u) + w(u, v);
pred(v) := u;
end
end
```

end

```
for each edge uv \in E do

if dist(u) + w(u, v) < dist(v) then

error "Graph contains a negative-weight cycle";

end

end
```

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

BELLMAN-FORD ALGORITHM













▲口→ ▲圖→ ▲注→ ▲注→



Proof of correctness

Theorem

if G = (V, E) contains no negative-weight cycles, then after the Bellman-Ford algorithm executes, $dist(v) = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$

Proof.

let $v \in V$ be any vertex, and consider a shortest path P from s to v with the minimum number of edges.

we have: $\delta(s, v_i) = \delta(s, v_{i-1}) + w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$. Initially: $dist(v_0) = 0 = \delta(s, v_0)$ After 1 pass through E : $dist(v_1) = \delta(s, v_1)$

After k passes through E : $dist(v_k) = \delta(s, v_k)$ Longest simple path has $\leq |V| - 1$ edges.

ヘロン 人間 とくほと 人ほとう

Time complexity

The Bellman-Ford algorithm simply relaxes all the edges, and does this |V| - 1 times: It runs in $O(|V| \cdot |E|)$ time: the best time bound for the sssp problem.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Input: A connected graph G=(V,E) and an edge weight function w. **Output**: For all pairs $u, v \in V$ of nodes a shortest path from u to v $\rightarrow a |V| \times |V|$ -matrix.

Possible algorithms:

- Naive implementation: Use standard single-source algorithms $\left|V\right|$ times
 - Dijkstra : running a O(E + VlogV) process |V| times
 - Bellman–Ford algorithm on a dense graph will take about $O(V^2 E)$
- Floyd Warshall algorithm
- Johnson algorithm

Notation

 dist^(k)(i,j) is the distance from i to j with intermediate vertices belonging to the set {1, 2, ..., k}

▲口▶ ▲圖▶ ★温≯ ★温≯

```
Floyd-Warshall(G,w)

for i = 1 to V do

for j = 1 to V do

if there is an edge from i to j then

| dist^{(0)}(i,j) = the length of the edge from i to j;

end

dist^{(0)}(i,j) = \infty;

end
```

end

```
for k = 1 to V do

for i = 1 to V do

for j = 1 to V do

dist^{(k)}(i, j) =

min(dist^{(k-1)}(i, j), dist^{(k-1)}(i, k) + dist^{(k-1)}(k, j));

end

end
```

Nesrine Damak: Classical Shortest-Path Algorithms

- The algorithms running time is clearly $O(|V|^3)$
- $DIST^{(k)}$ is the $|V| \times |V|$ Matrix $[dist^{(k)}(i,j)]$.
- $dist^{(0)}(i,j) = w(i,j)$ (no intermediate vertex = the edge from i to j)
- Claim: dist^(|V|)(i, j) is the length of the shortest path from i to j. So our aim is to compute DIST^(|V|).
- Subproblems: compute $DIST^{(k)}$ for k = 0, ..., |V| (dynamic!)

How do we compute $dist^{(k)}(i,j)$ assuming that we have already computed the previous matrix $DIST^{(k-1)}$? We dont go through k at all: Then the shortest path from i to j uses only intermediate vertices $\{1, ..., k-1\}$ and hence the length of the shortest path is $dist^{(k-1)}(i,j)$.

・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

We do go through k: we can assume that we pass through k exactly once (no negative cycles). That is, we go from i to k, and then from k to j: we should take the shortest path from i to k, and the shortest path from k to j (optimality).

Each of these paths uses intermediate vertices only in $\{1, 2, ..., k - 1\}$. The length of the path is : $dist^{(k-1)}(i, k) + dist^{(k-1)}(k, j)$. This suggests the following recursive rule for computing $DIST^{(k)}$:

This suggests the following recursive rule for computing $DIST^{(k)}$:

•
$$dist^{(0)}(i,j) = w(i,j)$$

• $dist^{(k)}(i,j) = min(dist^{(k-1)}(i,j), dist^{(k-1)}(i,k) + dist^{(k-1)}(k,j))$

After |V| iterations, $dist^{|V|}(i,j)$ is the shortest path between i and j.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Notation

a shortest-path tree rooted at the source vertex s is a directed subgraph G' = (V', E') where V' and E' are subsets of V and E respectively, such that

- V' is the set of vertices reachable from s in G
- G' forms a rooted tree with root s
- for all v ∈ V', the unique path from s to v ∈ G' is the shortest path from s to v in G

イロト 不同 トイヨト イヨト

Idea:

- Add a new node s so that w(s, v) = 0 for all $v \in V \rightarrow$ a new graph G'.
- Use the BellmanFord algorithm to check for negative weight cycles and find $h(v) = \delta(s, v)$ in G'.
- Reweight the edges using h(v) with the reweighting function $\hat{w}(u, v) \leftarrow w(u, v) + h(u) h(v)$.
- Use Dijkstras algorithm on the transformed graph (with no negative edges) in order to find the shortest path.

Pseudocode

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Johnson}(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{w})\\ & \mathsf{Compute}\ G', \ \mathsf{where}\ V[G'] = V[G] \cup s \ ;\\ & E[G'] = E[G] \cup (s,v) : v \in V[G] \ ;\\ & \mathsf{for}\ all\ v \in V[G] \ \mathsf{do}\\ & \mid \ w(s,v) = 0 \ ;\\ & \mathsf{end} \end{aligned}
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

if BELLMAN - FORD(G', w, s) = FALSE then

print the input graph contains a negative weight cycle ; end

for each vertex $v \in V[G']$ do

set h(v) to the value of $\delta(s, v)$ computed by the Bellman-Ford alg.; end

for each edge $(u, v) \in E[G']$ do $\hat{w}(u, v) \leftarrow w(u, v) + h(u) - h(v)$; for each vertex $u \in V[G]$ do | run DIJKSTRA (G, \hat{w}, u) to compute $\delta'(u, v)$ for all $v \in V[G]$; for each vertex $v \in V[G]$ do | dist $(u, v) \leftarrow \delta'(u, v) + h(v) - h(u)$; end end

end

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほう















Nesrine Damak: Classical Shortest-Path Algorithms

Are all the \hat{w} 's non-negative? YES

 $\delta(s, u) + w(u, v) \ge \delta(s, v)$ otherwise $s \to u \to v$ would be shorter than the shortest path $s \to v$

Does the reweighting preserve the shortest path? YES $\hat{w}(p) = \sum \hat{w}(v_i, v_{i+1})$ $= w(v_1, v_2) + \delta(s, v_1) - \delta(s, v_2) + \dots + w(v_{k-1}, v_k) + \delta(s, v_{k-1}) - \delta(s, v_k)$ $= w(p) + \delta(s, v_1) - \delta(s, v_k)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Time complexity

- **1** Computing G: $\Theta(V)$
- **2** Bellman-Ford: $\Theta(VE)$
- **3** Reweighting: $\Theta(E)$
- **4** Running (Modified) Dijkstra: $\Theta(V^2 lgV + VE)$
- **5** Adjusting distances: $\Theta(V^2)$

Total is dominated by Dijkstra: $\Theta(V^2 lgV + VE)$

Thank you!

(日)、